

~~SECRET~~

Approved For Release 2000/08/27 : CIA-RDP76-00183R000400060041-1

DIARY NOTES

25X1A

DD/S [REDACTED]

2 October 1963

1. At the Executive Committee Meeting this morning:

25X1A9a a. The Director requested that the security processing for [REDACTED] 25X1A9a
[REDACTED] who is to become a member of John Bross' staff, be expedited.
25X1A9a (This has been done, and [REDACTED] has been invited to enter on duty.)

b. The Director commented on the Article in last night's Evening Star in which intelligence sources were quoted as saying that the Soviets were continuing to detonate nuclear blasts. He speculated as to a number of possible sources, including Congressional committees, from which this information could well have been leaked. He did not think it was worth the effort to conduct an investigation. (Bob Bannerman is looking into it, however, for whatever it is worth.)

25X1A9a 2. Robert Walleigh, administrative director of the National Bureau of Standards, came out to lunch with me. His primary interest was in seeing our building and particularly our cafeteria. In the course of the luncheon he raised the question of the TSD facility which they had planned for us and indicated that, following his conversation with [REDACTED] he had notified the Bureau of the Budget as well as the architect that this program would be substantially reduced. I apologized to him for any inconvenience we had caused. He seemed fairly relaxed about the whole thing, although he did say that the greatest inconvenience would be the delay while the architect re-draws the plans.

3. Jim Garrison telephoned to say that they had computed the relative telephone charges in Virginia and Washington, D. C., under the assumption that all units not now at Langley would be concentrated in one other building. They found that the Virginia rates would cost \$327,000 per annum more than the Washington, D. C. rates. (We have now done a paper on this, and it has been delivered to Mr. Abersfeller of GSA by [REDACTED] 25X1A9a

25X1A9a 4. Matt Baird advised me that Mr. [REDACTED] an Agency employee attending the Air War College this year, failed to sign his in-service agreement prior to his departure for the College. It was mailed to him there, and he has returned it saying that, while he does intend to make a career with CIA, he cannot in all honesty sign this agreement because he wants to feel

Approved For Release 2000/08/27 : CIA-RDP76-00183R000400060041-1

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

Approved For Release 2000/08/27 : CIA-RDP76-00183R000400060041-1

25X1A9a

free to go elsewhere if and when he chooses. I told Matt, and he agreed, that this was a reflection on the Office of Training and that there was really no excuse for allowing [REDACTED] to depart for the War College without signing this agreement first. In fact, it should have been signed shortly after his official selection in order to allow time for a replacement if he chose not to sign it.

5. Jack Earman telephoned to inquire about the polygraph examination paper which the Office of Security is preparing and to say that he thought this should go forward as a companion piece to his audit of personnel security practices. I said that I had no objection to this procedure and would get in touch with him as soon as I had the Security report.

LKW:jrf

Approved For Release 2000/08/27 : CIA-RDP76-00183R000400060041-1

~~SECRET~~